

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS A. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING D		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/480,676 01/11/2000		01/11/2000	Justin Che-I Chuang	112063 4609		
26652	7590	01/13/2004		EXAMINER		
AT&T CC	RP.		SMITH, SHEILA B			
P.O. BOX 4 MIDDLET		JI 07748	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MIDDLET	O W 14, 1	() 0//40		2681	17/	
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	, 10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)					
,			09/480,676		CHUANG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	E	xaminer		Art Unit					
		s	heila B. Smith		2681					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appea	rs on the cover shee	t with the co	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHOTHE IN CONTROL OF THE INCOME. If the Failure Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will a ly will, by statute, cau). In no event, however, ma hin the statutory minimum of pply and will expire SIX (6) I use the application to becom	y a reply be time thirty (30) days MONTHS from to e ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.					nerits is				
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 22-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23,26,32 and 33 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 22,24,25,27-31,34-53 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ard Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected	e: a) acceptorection to the drawing the correction	wing(s) be held in abe	yance. See ing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action of the action of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the specific reference was included a CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign landschowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign landschowledgment is made of a claim of the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the first seed of the specific reference was included in the specific referen	y documents hay documents hay documents has of the priority onal Bureau (Fon for a list of the for domestic ped in the first stanguage provision for domestic per	ave been received. ave been received in documents have be PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies reprincity under 35 U.S. entence of the spectional application has riority under 35 U.S.	n Application en received not received C. § 119(e) ification or its been received c. § 120 a	in No d in this National S d. to a provisional a in an Application D sived. and/or 121 since a	application) rata Sheet. specific				
Attachmen	t(s)									
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) D Notice		PTO-413) Paper No(s). tent Application (PTO-1					

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 22, 24,25,27-31, 34-53, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blakeney, 11 et al. (U. S. Patent number 5,267,261) in view of Hamalainen et al. (U.S. Patent number 5,802,465).

Regarding claim 22, Blakeney, II et al. discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Blakeney, II et al. discloses mobile station assisted soft handoff in a cdma cellular communications system. Blakeney, II et al. further discloses a plurality of base stations connected to the telecommunications network (disclosed in column 4 lines 1-2), each base station configured to transmitting a pilot frequency signal corresponding to a downlink traffic channel (which reads on column 4 lines2-5), and the pilot frequency signal being one of a plurality of pilot frequency signals respectively corresponding of the downlink traffic channels, and (column 3, lines 1-5). Furthermore, Blakeney, II et al. discloses the base station further configured to receive a list of preferred traffic channels (active set) generated by the wireless station (wireless station) based on detected levels of the pilot frequency signals at the wireless station (column 4, lines 6-15). However, Blakeney, II et al. does not disclose the base station transmits a data packet to the wireless station using the downlink traffic channel.

Art Unit: 2681

In the same field of endeavor, Hamalainen et al. discloses a data transmission in a radio telephone network. Hamalainen et al. further discloses the base station transmits a data packet to the wireless station using the downlink traffic channel (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Blakeney, II et al. with the base station transmits a data packet to the wireless station using the downlink traffic channel taught by Hamalainen et al. for the purpose of selecting a channel with a better signal strength than the current one.

Regarding claims 24,25,27,28, 29, Blakeney, II et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 22), however, Blakeney, II et al. fails to discloses data packet at the wireless station using the assigned downlink traffic channel.

In the same field of endeavor, Hamalainen et al. discloses a data transmission in a radio telephone network. Hamalainen et al. further discloses data packet at the wireless station using the assigned downlink traffic channel (column 8, lines 1-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Blakeney, II et al. with the data packet at the wireless station using the assigned downlink traffic channel taught by Hamalainen et al. for the purpose of selecting a channel with a better signal strength than the current one.

Regarding claims 30, 31, the using of frames in a superframe is well known in the packet switching technology and the Examiner takes official notice of such, therefore it would have been obvious for a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use one of

Art Unit: 2681

the frames in a predetermined number of frames in a superframe. The motivation for doing so would have been to conform to a known standard.

Regarding claims 34 - 38, Blakeney, II et al. discloses a wireless station comprising a pilot frequency signal scanner (monitor) for scanning a frequency in response to the paging message for determing whether any dowlink channels are available for downlink transmission to the wireless station the pilot frequency band having pilot frequency signals, each pilot frequency signal corresponding to a down link channel and a transmitter for transmitting a message indicating available downlink channels for downlink transmission.

In the same field of endeavor, Hamalainen et al. discloses a data transmission in a radio telephone network. Hamalainen et al. further discloses the base station transmits a data packet to the wireless station using the downlink traffic channel (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Blakeney, II et al. with the base station transmits a data packet to the wireless station using the downlink traffic channel taught by Hamalainen et al. for the purpose of selecting a channel with a better signal strength than the current one.

Regarding claims 40-42, 46-53, Blakeney, II et al. discloses as applied above, Blakeney, II et al., disclose base station comprising transmitting a paging message to a wireless station for downlink transmission form the base station to the wireless station, the paging message including information (which reads on column 4 lines2-5) and receiving a list of preferred traffic channels from the wireless station for downlink transmission. However the combination fails to disclose transmits a data packet to the wireless station using the downlink traffic channel (abstract).

Art Unit: 2681

In the same field of endeavor, Hamalainen et al. discloses a data transmission in a radio telephone network. Hamalainen et al. further discloses the base station transmits a data packet to the wireless station using the downlink traffic channel (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Blakeney, II et al. with the base station transmits a data packet to the wireless station using the downlink traffic channel taught by Hamalainen et al. for the purpose of selecting a channel with a better signal strength than the current one.

Regarding claims, 44, Blakeney, II et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 22) however, Blakeney, II et al. fails to specifically disclose the wireless station is a mobile station.

The examiner contends, however, that such a feature is well know in the art, and the examiner takes official notice as such.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Blakeney, II et al. with well known prior art as described above from the purpose of sending signals.

Regarding claims, 45, Blakeney, II et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 22) however, Blakeney, II et al. fails to specifically disclose the wireless station is a fixed station.

The examiner contends, however, that a wireless station being a fixed station is well know in the art, and at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

Art Unit: 2681

skill in the art to modify Blakeney, II et al. with the teachings of well known prior art since such fixed stations are known to be widely used in the industry.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-53 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (703)305-0104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 703-305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-0104.

S. Smith January 12, 2004 JEAN GELTI PATENT EXAMINER Jean Heland Gelin